

Conic optimization: the past, the present and the future

Imre Pólik

McMaster University
Advanced Optimization Lab

Lehigh University
April 17, 2008

Outline

- 1 Optimization problems in general
- 2 Motivating examples for conic optimization
 - Stability analysis and eigenvalue problems
 - Combinatorial optimization
 - Optimization under uncertainty
- 3 Conic optimization
 - Theoretical background
 - Software tools
- 4 The future of conic optimization
 - Theory and algorithms
 - Applications and software
- 5 Other activities

Optimization problems

optimize *objective(s)*

s.t. *constraints are satisfied*

Objectives

- cost, return
- risk
- error
- SNR
- time
- heat
- lift, drag
- ...

Constraints

- laws of nature
- manufacturing restrictions
- standards
- design requirements
- resources
- tolerances
- logical relationships
- ...

Elements of optimization

- (Decision/design) variables

 x, y, s

- continuous
- discrete
- binary

- Problem data (parameters)

 A, b, c, f, g

- Constraints/objectives

 $f(x) \leq 0$

- linear
- quadratic
- nice nonlinear (convex)
- ugly nonlinear (nonconvex)

- Feasible solution: satisfies all the constraints

- Typical problem

$$\min f(x)$$

$$Ax = b$$

$$g(x) \leq 0$$

$$h(x) = 0$$

- 1 Optimization problems in general
- 2 Motivating examples for conic optimization
 - Stability analysis and eigenvalue problems
 - Combinatorial optimization
 - Optimization under uncertainty
- 3 Conic optimization
- 4 The future of conic optimization
- 5 Other activities

Stability analysis

Theorem (Lyapunov stability)

Trajectories of $\dot{x} = Ax$ converge to 0 if and only if there is a positive definite P such that $A^T P + PA$ is negative definite.

Theorem (Circle criterion)

Linear state-feedback system:

$$\dot{x} = Ax + Bw, \quad x(0) = x_0$$

$$v = Cx$$

$$\sigma(v, w) = (\beta v - w)^T (w - \alpha v) \geq 0, \quad (\text{sector constraint, } \alpha < \beta)$$

Equivalent condition for quadratic stability:

$$\begin{matrix} & & & P \succ 0 \\ \left(\begin{array}{cc} A^T P + PA - 2\beta\alpha C^T C & PB + (\beta + \alpha)C^T \\ B^T P + (\beta + \alpha)C & -2 \end{array} \right) & \prec & 0 & \end{matrix}$$

Eigenvalue optimization

- Looking for a “good” positive definite matrix

Problem

Given matrices A_1, \dots, A_m find a nonnegative linear combination whose smallest eigenvalue is maximal.

- SDP formulation

$$\max \lambda$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^m A_i y_i - \lambda I \text{ is PSD}$$

$$y_i \geq 0, i = 1, \dots, m$$

- Needed for effective stability analysis

Relaxation of binary variables

- Binary constraints: $x_i \in \{0, 1\}$
- LP relaxation: $x_i \in [0, 1]$, easy, but too weak
- Equivalent form:

$$z_i = 2x_i - 1$$

$$z_i^2 = 1 (\Leftrightarrow z_i = \pm 1)$$

- Matrix form ($Z = zz^T$):

Z is PSD

$$\text{diag}(Z) = 1$$

$$\text{rank}(Z) = 1 (\Leftrightarrow Z = zz^T)$$

- SDP relaxation is stronger than the LP relaxation

Optimization under uncertainty

- Sources of uncertainty
 - measurement errors
 - (random) noise
 - unpredictability, future
 - vibration
 - technological limits
 - material imperfections
 - computer round-off errors
- Application areas
 - medical treatment planning
 - structural design
 - robust portfolio selection

Solution approaches

- Scenario enumeration
 - include all the possible values of a_i
 - possibly infinitely many...
 - take a subset - column generation
- Deterministic approach
 - put a threshold on the possible error
 - rewrite the problem - if possible
- Probabilistic approach
 - assume a distribution for the uncertainty (Gaussian)
 - ensure the constraint is satisfied with high probability

Example - Robust linear programming

- Standard linear programming

$$\min c^T x$$

$$a_i^T x \geq b_i, i = 1, \dots, m$$

- Assume the data is uncertain: $a_i \sim N(\bar{a}_i, \Sigma_i)$
- We want $P(a_i^T x \geq b_i) \geq \eta$, which is equivalent to

$$\left\| \Sigma_i^{1/2} x \right\|_2 \leq \Phi(\eta) (b_i - \bar{a}_i^T x)$$

- Assuming $a_i \sim U(\bar{a}_i - \beta_i, \bar{a}_i + \beta_i)$ leads to an LP
- In general, robustification increases complexity

Other applications

- Quadratic programming
- Quadratic linear fractions

$$\sum_{i=1}^r \frac{\|A_i x + b_i\|^2}{a_i^T x + \beta_i} \leq t$$

- Inequalities with rational powers

$$\begin{aligned} x_1^{-5/6} x_2^{-1/3} x_3^{-1/2} &\leq t \\ x_1, x_2, x_3 &\geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

- Structural/shape design
- Sensor network localization
- Sum-of-squares optimization
- Minimization of univariate polynomials

- 1 Optimization problems in general
- 2 Motivating examples for conic optimization
- 3 Conic optimization
 - Theoretical background
 - Software tools
- 4 The future of conic optimization
- 5 Other activities

Symmetric conic optimization in general

- The primal-dual problems

$$\min c^T x$$

$$\max b^T y$$

$$Ax = b$$

$$A^T y + s = c$$

$$x \in \mathcal{K}$$

$$s \in \mathcal{K},$$

- The cone \mathcal{K} can be

Linear: $x \geq 0$

Second-order: $x_0 \geq \|x\|_2$

Rotated second-order: $x_0 x_1 \geq \|x_{2:n}\|$, and $x_0 \geq 0$

Semidefinite: x is (can be assembled into) a symmetric, positive semidefinite matrix, or a product of these.

Solving conic optimization

- Algorithms: mostly interior point methods
- Iterations
 - SDP: $\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{n})$
 - SOCP: $\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{\#\text{cones}})$
 - practice: $\approx 50 - 100$
- Cost of one iteration
 - SDP: $\mathcal{O}(mn^3 + m^2n^2 + m^3)$
 - SOCP: $\mathcal{O}(m^3 + km^2n^2)$
 - much less for sparse data
- Problem sizes:
 - SDP: $m \leq 10000, n \leq 5000$
 - SOCP $m \leq 10000, k \leq 10000$
 - more with sparse data
- High accuracy (10^{-6})

Software

- Solvers - mostly open source, last 10 years
 - SOCP: MOSEK, SeDuMi, LOQO, SDPT3, PENNON
 - SDP: CSDP, SDPA, SeDuMi, SDPT3, PENSDP
- Modeling languages
 - No commercial support for SDP
 - Scarce support for SOCP
 - Only academic packages (Yalmip, CVX)

- 1 Optimization problems in general
- 2 Motivating examples for conic optimization
- 3 Conic optimization
- 4 The future of conic optimization
 - Theory and algorithms
 - Applications and software
- 5 Other activities

Theory and algorithms

- More efficient algorithms
 - IPMs have reached their limit
 - simplex-like algorithm
 - better handling of sparsity for SDP
 - preprocessing
- More general cones
 - homogeneous cones
 - nonnegative polynomials
- Cone intersections
 - PSD matrix with nonnegative numbers
 - intersection of second-order cones
- Integer conic programming
 - very limited theory/algorithms
 - efficient cut generation is an open problem
- Rounding procedure
 - getting an exact solution under some conditions

Applications and software

- Reliability
 - too many solver failures
 - inconsistent accuracy
- Interfaces
 - extended and unified input formats
 - callable libraries
- Modeling languages
 - more commercial involvement
- More industrial awareness
 - interrelated with modeling languages

- 1 F. Alizadeh and D. Goldfarb. Second-order cone programming. *Mathematical Programming, Series B*, 95:3–51, 2002.
- 2 A. Ben-Tal and A. Nemirovski. *Lectures on Modern Convex Optimization: Analysis, Algorithms, and Engineering Applications*. MPS-SIAM Series on Optimization. SIAM, Philadelphia, PA, 2001.
- 3 M. X. Goemans and D. P. Williamson. Improved approximation algorithms for maximum cut and satisfiability problems using semidefinite programming. *J. Assoc. Comput. Mach.*, 1994.
- 4 R. D. C. Monteiro. Primal-dual path-following algorithms for semidefinite programming. *SIAM Journal of Optimization*, 7:663–678, 1997.
- 5 M. L. Overton. On minimizing the maximum eigenvalue of a symmetric matrix. *SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl.*, 9(2):256–268, 1988.
- 6 L. Vandenberghe and S. Boyd. Semidefinite programming. *SIAM Review*, 38:49–95, 1996.

Other activities

- Software development
 - SeDuMi: software package for conic optimization
 - originally by Jos Sturm
 - in Matlab/C, being reimplemented in Python
 - open source (GPL)
- S-lemma, S-procedure
 - nonconvex quadratic inequalities
- Duality theories in optimization
 - nonconvex
 - nonregular
 - nonexact
- Simulation
 - Canadian Operational Research Society & Visual8
 - Model implemented in Simul8
 - 2007: 1st prize (team member)
 - 2008: results pending (team advisor)

Conic optimization: the past, the present and the future

Imre Pólik

McMaster University
Advanced Optimization Lab

Lehigh University
April 17, 2008