

# Detecting Infeasibility in Conic Optimization: Theory and Practice

Imre Pólik

McMaster University  
Advanced Optimization Lab

CORS 2005, Halifax

# Outline

## 1 Introduction

- Importance of infeasibility

## 2 Theory

- Classical results
- Approximate results
- Interior Point Methods (IPMs)
- Self-dual embedding

## 3 Practice

- Stopping criteria
- Experiments
- Results
- Future plans

# Motivation

- Importance of infeasibility
  - No solution.
    - Why? Certificate!
  - What does it mean?
    - Good news?
    - Wrong model? Wrong data?
    - Numerical problems?
    - Bug in the code?
- Practical problems
  - Not known a priori
  - Feasible but impractical solution
    - Missing constraints
  - Weakly infeasible problems

# Classical results

- The cone:  $\mathcal{K} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  closed, convex, pointed, solid
- Dual cone:  $\mathcal{K}^* = \{s \in \mathbb{R}^n : x^T s \geq 0, \forall x \in \mathcal{K}\}$
- Ordering:  $x \succeq_{\mathcal{K}} 0 \Leftrightarrow x \in \mathcal{K}$
- Conic optimization problem (CO)

$$\begin{array}{ll} \min c^T x & \max b^T y \\ Ax = b & A^T y + s = c \\ x \succeq_{\mathcal{K}} 0 & s \succeq_{\mathcal{K}^*} 0 \end{array}$$

- Weak duality:  $c^T x - b^T y = x^T s \geq 0$
- Primal-dual strict feasibility implies strong duality.
- Dual improving direction

$$\begin{array}{l} A^T y \preceq_{\mathcal{K}^*} 0 \\ b^T y = 1. \end{array}$$

- Primal is feasible  $\Rightarrow$   
No dual improving direction
- Primal is infeasible  $\Rightarrow$   
Almost improving direction

# Self-dual cones

- Linear

$$\mathbb{R}_{\oplus}^n$$

- Second order

$$\mathcal{K}^q = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n : x_1^2 \geq \|x_{2:n}\|^2, x_1 \geq 0 \right\}$$

- Rotated second order

$$\mathcal{K}^r = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n : 2x_1x_2 \geq \|x_{3:n}\|^2, x_1, x_2 \geq 0 \right\}$$

- Positive semidefinite

$$\mathbb{S}^n = \left\{ X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} : X \succeq 0 \right\}$$

- And any product of these!

# Approximate Farkas Lemma for CO

- Near-solvability

$$\alpha_x = \min \|x\|_\infty$$

$$Ax = b$$

$$x \succeq_{\mathcal{K}} 0$$

$$\beta_u = \min \|u\|_1$$

$$A^T y \preceq_{\mathcal{K}^*} u$$

$$b^T y = 1$$

## Theorem

$$\alpha_x \beta_u = 1.$$

- Perturbed system:

$$\alpha_x^\varepsilon := \min \|x\|_\infty$$

$$Ax = b^\varepsilon$$

$$x \succeq_{\mathcal{K}} v^\varepsilon$$

$$\|b - b^\varepsilon\|_\infty \leq \varepsilon$$

$$\|v^\varepsilon\|_\infty \leq \varepsilon.$$

- $\alpha_x^\varepsilon \rightarrow \alpha_x$  ( $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ )
- If the original is feasible then  $\alpha_x^\varepsilon$  and  $\alpha_x$  are realized

# IPMs for Conic Optimization

- Optimality conditions

$$\begin{array}{rcl} Ax & = & b \\ A^T y + s & = & c \\ xs & = & 0 \\ x, s & \succeq & 0, \end{array}$$

- The Newton-system

$$\begin{array}{rcl} A\Delta x & = & 0 \\ A^T \Delta y + \Delta s & = & 0 \\ \Delta xs + x\Delta s & = & \mu I - xs \end{array}$$

- Starting point?

# IPMs for Conic Optimization

- Perturbed optimality conditions

$$\begin{array}{rcl} Ax & = & b \\ A^T y + s & = & c \\ xs & = & \mu I \\ x, s & \succcurlyeq & 0, \end{array}$$

- The Newton-system

$$\begin{array}{rcl} A\Delta x & = & 0 \\ A^T \Delta y + \Delta s & = & 0 \\ \Delta xs + x\Delta s & = & \mu I - xs \end{array}$$

- Starting point?



# IPMs for Conic Optimization

- Perturbed optimality conditions

$$\begin{array}{rcl} Ax & = & b \\ A^T y + s & = & c \\ xs & = & \mu I \\ x, s & \succcurlyeq & 0, \end{array}$$

- The Newton-system

$$\begin{array}{rcl} A\Delta x & = & 0 \\ A^T \Delta y + \Delta s & = & 0 \\ \Delta xs + x\Delta s & = & \mu I - xs \end{array}$$

- Starting point?

# IPMs for Conic Optimization

- Perturbed optimality conditions

$$\begin{array}{rcl} Ax & = & b \\ A^T y + s & = & c \\ xs & = & \mu I \\ x, s & \succcurlyeq & 0, \end{array}$$

- The Newton-system

$$\begin{array}{rcl} A\Delta x & = & 0 \\ A^T \Delta y + \Delta s & = & 0 \\ \Delta xs + x\Delta s & = & \mu I - xs \end{array}$$

- Starting point?

# Self-dual embedding model for CO

- Strictly interior starting point

$$\begin{array}{rcll}
 & \min (x_0^T s_0 + 1)\theta & & \\
 Ax & -b\tau & +\bar{b}\theta & = 0 \\
 -A^T y & & +c\tau & -\bar{c}\theta & = s \\
 b^T y & -c^T x & & +\bar{z}\theta & = \kappa \\
 -\bar{b}^T y & -\bar{c}^T x & -\bar{z}^T \tau & & = -x_0^T s_0 - 1 \\
 & x \succeq_{\mathcal{K}} 0, \tau \geq 0, s \succeq_{\mathcal{K}^*} 0, \kappa \geq 0, & & & 
 \end{array}$$

where

- $x_0, s_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n, y_0 \in \mathbb{R}^m, \tau, \theta, \kappa \in \mathbb{R}$
- $\bar{b} = b - Ax_0, \bar{c} = c - A^T y_0 - s_0, \bar{z} = c^T x_0 - b^T y_0 + 1$
- $\tau > 0, \theta = 0$ :  $x/\tau, y/\tau, s/\tau$  are optimal
- $\tau = 0, \theta > 0$ : infeasibility
- $\tau = 0, \theta = 0$ : ?

## Stopping criteria for the SD model I.

$$\min (x_0^T s_0 + 1)\theta$$

$$Ax - b\tau + \bar{b}\theta = 0$$

$$-A^T y + c\tau - \bar{c}\theta = s$$

$$b^T y - c^T x + \bar{z}\theta = \kappa$$

$$-\bar{b}^T y - \bar{c}^T x - \bar{z}^T \tau = -x_0^T s_0 - 1$$

$$x \succeq_{\mathcal{K}} 0, \tau \geq 0, s \succeq_{\mathcal{K}^*} 0, \kappa \geq 0,$$

- Stop if

- optimality:

$$\max (\|Ax - b\tau\|, \|A^T y + s - c\tau\|_*, \|c^T x - b^T y\|) < \bar{\epsilon}\tau$$

- large primal feasible solutions:

$$b^T y > (\tau \|c\|_* + \theta \|\bar{c}\|_*)\bar{\rho}$$

- large dual feasible solutions:

$$c^T x > -(\tau \|b\|_* + \theta \|\bar{b}\|_*)\bar{\rho}$$

- Complexity:  $\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{n} \ln \frac{\bar{\rho}}{\bar{\epsilon}})$

## Stopping criteria for the SD model II.

- Assume  $\tau\kappa \geq (1 - \beta)\theta$

$$\min (x_0^T s_0 + 1)\theta$$

$$\begin{array}{rcll}
 Ax & -b\tau & +\bar{b}\theta & = 0 \\
 -A^T y & & +c\tau & -\bar{c}\theta & = s \\
 b^T y & -c^T x & & +\bar{z}\theta & = \kappa \\
 -\bar{b}^T y & -\bar{c}^T x & -\bar{z}^T \tau & & = -x_0^T s_0 - 1 \\
 x \succeq_{\mathcal{K}} 0, \tau \geq 0, s \succeq_{\mathcal{K}^*} 0, \kappa \geq 0, & & & & 
 \end{array}$$

- Stop if

- optimality:

$$\max (\|Ax - b\tau\|, \|A^T y + s - c\tau\|_*, c^T x - b^T y) < \bar{\epsilon}\tau$$

- large optimal solutions ( $x^{*T} s_0 + s^{*T} x_0 \geq \rho$ ):

$$\tau \leq \frac{1 - \beta}{1 + \rho}$$

- Complexity:  $\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{n} \ln \frac{\bar{\rho}}{\bar{\epsilon}})$

# Experiments using SeDuMi

- What is SeDuMi?
  - IPM solver for symmetric cone optimization
  - Self-dual embedding
  - Open source (GPL), Matlab and C
  - Originally by Jos F. Sturm (until 2003)
  - Now by AdvOL (McMaster University)
  - See <http://sedumi.mcmaster.ca>
- Starting point: scaled identity
- Only the first criterion
- Difficulty: few good(!) infeasible problems

# A typical infeasible run

SeDuMi 1.1 by AdvOL, 2005

eqs m = 10, order n = 31, dim = 901, blocks = 2

it:	b*y	gap	feas	prec	pnorm	tau
0:		3.56E+1				
1:	7.44E+0	2.40E+1	1.71	3.7E+2	0.0441	1.1137
2:	1.38E+1	1.16E+1	1.69	1.5E+2	0.1150	1.3545
3:	1.81E+1	4.51E+0	1.42	5.2E+1	0.1810	1.4779
4:	4.69E+1	1.47E+0	0.34	3.6E+1	0.5307	0.6770
5:	3.79E+2	4.73E-1	-1.87	1.0E+2	4.2900	0.0761
6:	7.04E+2	1.00E-1	-2.15	4.1E+1	7.9618	0.0402
7:	2.78E+3	3.23E-2	-0.90	5.3E+1	31.4465	0.0313
8:	1.30E+5	8.78E-4	-1.02	6.7E+1	1.4671E+3	0.0006
9:	2.31E+5	3.72E-4	-1.12	5.1E+1	2.6118E+3	0.0004
10:	1.14E+6	7.89E-5	-0.98	5.3E+1	1.2870E+4	0.0003
11:	1.14E+8	8.14E-7	-1.00	5.4E+1	1.2888E+6	0

Primal infeasible, dual improving direction found.

Outline

Introduction

Theory

Practice

Stopping criteria

Experiments

Results

Future plans

# Observations, conclusions

- It works!
- Useful information
- Special cases, strong bounds
  - SDP, bounded diagonal
  - Natural bounds
- Theoretical properties
  - Polynomial-time stopping criteria
  - Robust, reliable
  - No prior knowledge
  - Numerical accuracy matters



## Future work

- Utilize the  $x^{*T} s_0 + s^{*T} x_0 \geq \rho$  bound
  - Depends on the starting point
  - Primal or dual?
- Weak infeasibility
  - Not implemented anywhere
  - Decision problem
- Derive strong bounds on solutions (preprocessing)
- Statistical methods
  - Similar runs
  - Time series analysis, prediction
  - Algorithm modification
  - No certificate! (uncertainty)

# Detecting Infeasibility in Conic Optimization: Theory and Practice

Imre Pólik

McMaster University  
Advanced Optimization Lab

CORS 2005, Halifax